

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,912	11/09/1999	KEITH R. MCCRAE	6056-257	8628
23973 7	590 08/09/2002			
	IDDLE & REATH		EXAM	INER
	HERRY STREETS		ROBINSON	N, HOPE A
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	ACI
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	: 7 X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/437,912

Applicant(s)

McCrae

Examiner

Hope Robinson

Art Unit **1653**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N		TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	I date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 5, 200</u>				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4, 8, 9, 16, 19, 22, and 30-49	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4, 8, 9, 19, 22, and 30-35	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 16 and 36-49	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•			
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachm	-	priority direct 33 0.0.C. 33 120 dilutor 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn as claims 30-35 have been rejoined with the elected invention based on applicant's request.
- 2. Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed May 2, 2002 in Paper No. 16 on July 5, 2002 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 2 and 16 have been amended. Claims 1-4, 8-9, 16, 19, 22, 30-49 are pending.
- 4. The following grounds of rejection are or remain applicable:

Claim Objection

Claim 30 is objected to because the claim recites the formula " X_1 -His-Lys-X-Lys- X_2 " and there is no corresponding SEQ ID NO: for the entire sequence. It is noted that X1 represents SEQ ID NO:1 and X2 represents SEQ ID NO:2, however, once the sequence is attached to -His-Lys-X-Lys, what is the corresponding sequence identifier? See also claims 1, 16 and the dependent claims hereto.

Compliance with the sequence rules is required.

Art Unit: 1653

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 19, 22, 30-35, 41 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 19 and 22 are indefinite because the claims appear to be missing the article "a" where it is recited "effective amount of two-chain high molecular weight kininogen" or "effective amount of single-chain...". It is suggested that the claims are amended to recite "effective amount of a two-chain high molecular weight kininogen", for example.

Claim 30 is indefinite because the claim recites a compound of the formula X_1 -His-Lys-X-Lys- X_2 and there is no indication of what "X" stands for. It is noted that the dependent claim 31 states that X can be Asn, Phe or His, however, independent claim 30 has to stand on its own, and the metes and bounds of the claim are unknown without the definition of "X". The claim is also indefinite as to the recitation of "and/or", as it is unclear as to whether the slash mark refers to "and" or just "or".

Claim 33 lacks antecedent basis as X is not defined in claim 30 and the sequence recited in claim 33 has X as being Phe.

Application/Control Number: 09/437,912

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 34 recites "the compound having the amino acid sequence...." and it appears that this claim was intended to depend from an independent claim not identified, thus indefinite (see also claim 35). If the claims are intended to be independent is this compound the same as recited in the preceding claims?

Claim 41 is indefinite for the recitation of "at least about" as the phrase "about" is broader and goes out side the narrower range of "at least" (see also claim 46).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 1,02 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 8-9 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferreira et al. (WO 97/05258, February 13, 1997) based on a formula wherein X is any amino acid, X_1 is a fragment thereof containing at least one amino acid and X_2 is zero amino acids.

Ferreira disclose peptides for use in diagnostic and therapeutic methods. Ferreira disclose the sequence contained in claim 1 in SEQ ID NO: 113, where the sequence is Glu-Ala-Pro-His-Lys-Phe-Lys-Asn-Val which means that X is Phe (as in claims 3 and 4), X_1 is a fragment and X_2

Art Unit: 1653

is two amino acids. The sequence disclosed by Ferreira also meets the limitation of claim 2 which requires X_1 and X_2 to be from zero to six amino acids or zero amino acids. Thus, the limitations of the claims are met by this reference.

Applicant's arguments filed July 5, 2002 was not sufficient to over come the rejections of record under 35 U.S.C. 102. Note that new rejections have been made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second and 102 (b) based on the rejoinder of claims 30-35. Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102, applicant contends that claim 1 recites the minimum sequence of Gly-His-Lys-X-Lys because X₁ must always contain at least one amino acid "Gly" and as the Ferreria reference teaches Pro it does not anticipate the claimed invention. This contention is not accurate as page 9 of the specification indicates that "N-terminal truncation fragment" means a fragment obtained from a parent sequence by removing one or more amino acids from the N-terminus thereof, thus, the "or more amino acids" of the definition could result in only one amino acid remaining in the N-terminus the claim does not specify what amino acid residue the one has to be. Thus applicant's statements that a Gly remains is not convincing. The reasoning is applied to newly rejected claims 30-32. Thus, the rejection remains.

Conclusion

9. No claims are presently allowable.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hope Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-6231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S.F. Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923.

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. The official fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Please affix the examiner's name on a cover sheet attached to your communication should you choose to fax your response. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

Hope Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER